

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,224	08/26/2003	Hiroshi Seki	116652	1904
25944 75	590 - 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			CHANG, JOSEPH	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· (C	ľ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 				
Office Action Community	10/647,224	SEKI, HIROSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Joseph Chang	2817					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)		(000 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 7. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wu, US Patent 6160457.

Wu discloses in Fig. 2 and 3 a semiconductor device utilizing an oscillator (40) installed outside and having an inverting amplifier (20), which is installed in parallel with the oscillator (40), the oscillator intermittently outputting an oscillation signal in response to a control signal (02,03 of Fig 3), the inverting amplifier comprising:

- a first terminal (left end of 40) that receives a first signal from the oscillator;
- a second terminal (right end of 40) that provides a second signal to the oscillator;
- a transmission gate (36) disposed between the first terminal and the second terminal, that is formed by using insulated gate transistors (inherent property of CMOS).

the transmission gate being set to an 'on' state where the first signal is transmitted in a case of the control signal being set to a first logical level, and set to an 'off' state where the first signal is not transmitted in the other case of the control signal being set to a second logical level (the circuit structure inherently functions as recited);

an inverter (24, 30) disposed between an output terminal of the transmission gate (36) and the second terminal (right end of 40), that is formed by using the insulated gate transistors, and inverting a logical level of a given signal so as to output the second signal (inverter's intrinsic functionality); and

a clamping circuit (28) having a first electrode (drain) and second electrode (source), the first electrode connected to a node disposed between the output terminal of the transmission gate (36) and an input terminal of the inverter (gate of 30), the second electrode directly connected to a ground voltage potential, the clamping circuit being set to make the first signal output from the transmission gate applied to the input terminal of the inverter in a case of the control signal being set to the first logical level, and set to make predetermined voltage applied to an input terminal of the inverter in the other case of the control signal being set to the second logical level (inherently functionality of transmission gate).

Regarding claim 2, Wu shows CMOS transmission gate 36.

Regarding claim 5, Wu shows a feedback resistor 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Yoshimura.

As discussed above, Wu discloses a semiconductor device as recited including the inverting amplifier except a buffer. As would have been well known in the art, a buffer is used to ease on output stage to the loading stage, for example, Yoshimura shows a buffer at the output of an inverting amplifier 11. And therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a buffer because such a modification would have provided the benefit of buffering.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowed.

Art Unit: 2817

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, Wu, taken alone or in combination of other references, does not teach or fairly suggest "a transmission gate that is disposed between the inverting amplifier and the buffer, and that is formed by using the insulated gate transistors" as set forth in the claim.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Chang Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2817